

To: Rothery, Deirdre[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov]
From: Beeler, Cindy
Sent: Wed 12/23/2015 10:40:12 PM
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

... small percentage ... but if you take:

1.8 billion cubic feet of gas x 1.028 MMBTU/ 1 Mcf) x 1 Mcf/1000 cf x current Henry Hub Natural Gas Spot Price (\$1.74/MMBTU – gasp! Very LOW) x 12.5% royalty = **\$403k/year in royalty** ... it's just that we have not done the analysis on Tribal minerals to say all of that would go to the Ute Tribe.

Cindy Beeler
US EPA Region 8, Energy Advisor
Office of the Regional Administrator
Tel: 303-312-6204
Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients and may contain Confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: Rothery, Deirdre
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 3:29 PM
To: Beeler, Cindy
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

Thanks much!

From: Beeler, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 3:08 PM
To: Rothery, Deirdre <Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

It's pretty small.. Total gas conserved on Indian country from FIP/Total gas produced from Uinta Basin (WRAP-def'n) = 0.41% ... which is why I did not highlight that.

Cindy Beeler

US EPA Region 8, Energy Advisor
Office of the Regional Administrator
Tel: 303-312-6204
Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients and may contain Confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: Rothery, Deirdre
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 2:03 PM
To: Beeler, Cindy
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

Hi Cindy,

Carl is asking that we include a percentage of total gas from the basin, what percentage of the total gas is the 1.8 billion cubic feet?

Thanks,

Dee

From: Beeler, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 11:33 AM
To: Ostendorf, Jody <ostendorf.jody@epa.gov>; Rothery, Deirdre <Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov>; Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>; Dresser, Chris <Dresser.Chris@epa.gov>; Gilbert, Alexas <Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov>

Cc: Daly, Carl <Daly.Carl@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

Jody –

Attached are my comments on that 4th bullet –see if that’s what you were looking for.

All –

I reckon we should get a bullet in there that addresses the gas conserved as a result of this proposed rule:

- Some of the requirements of this proposed rule, such as retrofit of high-bleed pneumatics and leak detection and repair, result in natural gas being conserved and sent to market. EPA estimates that over 1.8 billion cubic feet of gas per year would be returned to market. This is enough natural gas to meet the energy needs of more than 78,000 U.S. homes annually.

Cindy Beeler
US EPA Region 8, Energy Advisor
Office of the Regional Administrator
Tel: 303-312-6204
Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients and may contain Confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: Ostendorf, Jody
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2015 11:32 AM
To: Rothery, Deirdre; Beeler, Cindy; Smith, Claudia; Dresser, Chris; Gilbert, Alexas
Cc: Daly, Carl
Subject: RE: U&O FIP

Hi All,

Please find attached draft talking points regarding the designation schedule vs. FIP schedule/effective date. Is there a better way to soften the fourth bullet, i.e. that the FIP controls will not be in place soon enough to impact the final designations classifications?

I appreciate your comments/suggestions.

Thanks,

Jody

Jody Ostendorf

State Implementation Plan Program Manager

Uinta Basin Project Coordinator

Air Quality Planning Unit (8P-AR)

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, CO 80202-1129

303.312.7814

From: Rothery, Deirdre
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2015 9:10 AM
To: Beeler, Cindy <Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov>; Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>;
Dresser, Chris <Dresser.Chris@epa.gov>; Gilbert, Alexas <Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov>;
Ostendorf, Jody <ostendorf.jody@epa.gov>
Cc: Daly, Carl <Daly.Carl@epa.gov>
Subject: U&O FIP

Hi there,

As you know, we had a consultation with the Ute Tribe last week on Deseret and the U&O FIP. There will be a follow up consultation in early January (targeting the week of January 11th), to further discuss the FIP. In preparation for that meeting, we need to put together some talking points, which I am working on. However, it would be helpful if I could get a few bullets from you all to justify the FIP. Cost was one item that was brought up in the consultation (cost analysis, economic benefits, overall costs, push back from companies due to low oil prices), so a few bullets on what the costs are and where we got the data (based on national rules, Colorado rules etc) from would be helpful, expected AQ improvements, details on designation schedule vs. FIP schedule/effective date. Jody and Cindy, did I miss anything? I would need the bullets, at the latest, by January 4th, since we will need to get them to Shaun a week in advance of the consultation.

Thanks,

Dee